





ho

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/757,043	01/09/2001	Forrest Dockery	DFM-10602/01	6398
7	7590 11/22/2002			
Mark D. Schneider Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			MEISLIN, DEBRA S	
280 North Old Woodward, Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		S.M.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/757,043	DOCKERY, FORREST
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Debra S. Meislin	3723
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondenc address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	eithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30 within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 within the statutory minimum of thores (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 S	September 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	
Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under the disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1,2,7}$ and $\underline{11-17}$ is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2, 7, 11-17</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	;	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>9/20/02</u> is/are: a)⊠ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disa	oproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Appli	cation No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•	
a) The translation of the foreign language pro-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	* -	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/757,043

Art Unit: 3723

1. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, "said quick disconnect system" lacks antecedent basis. It is noted that dependent claims must depend upon a previous claim and not a following claim.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "quick disconnect system", "spring biased teeth", and "spring biased pin" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, 11, and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsons (1,417,683) in view of O (5,154,104).

Parsons discloses all of the claimed subject matter except for having a base with an arm for engagement with a rotary tool and a ball lock or spring biased teeth or a spring biased pin. O discloses a base with an arm for engagement with a rotary tool. It

Application/Control Number: 09/757,043

Art Unit: 3723

would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the second connector of Parsons as a base with an arm to enable engagement with a rotary tool as taught by O. The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of a ball lock or spring biased teeth or a spring biased pin as a locking mechanism is notoriously old and well known in the art. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the locking mechanism of Parsons as a ball lock or spring biased teeth or a spring biased pin as such are known mechanical equivalents.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 6. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Debra S. Meislin at telephone number 703-308-3671.

Debra S. Meislin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723 Page 3